

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/529,996	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LANGWALD, OLAF	
	<b>Examiner</b> Richard M. Lorence	<b>Art Unit</b> 3681	

**All Participants:**

(1) Richard M. Lorence.

(2) Michael J. Bujold.

**Status of Application: 80**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 October 2007

**Time:** 2:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

12, 13, and 16-24

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Richard M. Lorence  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed that claim 12 should be cancelled inasmuch as it does not further limit claim 20, and that claims 13 and 16-18 should be cancelled since they are duplicates or substantial duplicates of claims 21-24, respectively. Accordingly, these claims have been cancelled by way of the accompanying Examiner's Amendment..